

AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

IN THE CLAIMS:

A complete set of claims is provided below.

Please delete Claims 22-33 without prejudice.

Please amend Claims 2, 10, 47, and 49 as indicated.

Please add new Claims 52-53.

1. (Previously presented) A method of data compression, comprising:

partitioning a first set of basis functions into groups, each group corresponding to a region, each basis function corresponding to one unknown in a system of linear equations, each of said basis functions corresponding to an original source;

selecting a plurality of spherical angles;

using a computer system, calculating a far-field disturbance produced by each of said basis functions in a first group for each of said spherical angles to produce a matrix of transmitted disturbances;

reducing a rank of said matrix of transmitted disturbances to yield a second set of basis functions, said second set of basis functions corresponding to composite sources, each of said composite sources comprising a linear combination of a number N of said original basis functions;

partitioning a first set of weighting functions into groups, each group corresponding to one of said regions, each weighting function corresponding to a condition, each of said weighting functions corresponding to an original tester;

using a computer system, calculating a far-field disturbance received by each of said testers in a first group for each of said spherical angles to produce a matrix of received disturbances;

reducing a rank of said matrix of received disturbances to yield a second set of weighting functions, said second set of weighting functions corresponding to composite testers, each of said composite testers comprising a linear combination of a number M of said original testers, wherein at least one of either M or N is greater than one; and

transforming said system of linear equations to use said composite sources and said composite testers.

2. (Currently Amended) A method of data compression, comprising:

partitioning a first set of basis functions into groups, each group corresponding to a region, each basis function corresponding to an unknown in a system of equations, each of said basis functions corresponding to an original source;

selecting a first plurality of angular directions;

using a computer system, calculating a disturbance produced by each of said basis functions in a first group for each of said angular directions to produce a matrix of disturbances;

using said matrix of disturbances to compute a second set of basis functions, said second set of basis functions corresponding to composite sources, wherein at least one of said composite sources ~~produces~~ **is configured to produce** a relatively weak disturbance from a portion of space around said at least one composite source;

partitioning a first set of weighting functions into groups, each group corresponding one of said regions, each weighting function corresponding to a condition, each of said weighting functions corresponding to an original tester;

using a computer system, calculating a disturbance received by each of said testers in a second plurality of angular directions to produce a matrix of received disturbances;

using said matrix of received disturbances to compute a second set of weighting functions, said second set of weighting functions corresponding to composite testers, wherein at least one of said composite testers **is configured to** weakly ~~receives~~ **receive** disturbances from a portion of space relative to said at least one composite tester; and

transforming at least a portion of said system of equations to use one or more of said composite sources and one or more of said composite testers.

3. (Original) The method of Claim 2, wherein said matrix of disturbances is a moment method matrix.

4. (Original) The method of Claim 2, wherein said step of using said matrix of disturbances to compute a second set of basis functions comprises reducing a rank of said matrix of disturbances.

5. (Original) The method of Claim 2, wherein said step of using said matrix of received disturbances to compute a second set of weighting functions comprises reducing a rank of said matrix of received disturbances.

6. (Original) The method of Claim 2, wherein said disturbance is at least one of an electromagnetic field, a heat flux, an electric field, a magnetic field, a vector potential, a pressure, a sound wave, a particle flux, a weak nuclear force, a strong nuclear force, and a gravity force.

7. (Original) The method of Claim 2, wherein said first plurality of directions is substantially the same as said second plurality of directions.

8. (Original) The method of Claim 2, wherein said regions of space around said at least one composite source are far-field regions.

9. (Original) The method of Claim 2, wherein said at least a portion of a region around said at least one composite tester is a far-field region.

10. (Currently Amended) A method of data compression, comprising:

calculating one or more composite sources as a linear combination of more than one basis functions, wherein at least one of said composite sources **produces is configured to produce** a relatively weak disturbance in a portion of space related to said at least one composite source;

using a computer system, calculating one or more composite testers as a linear combination of more than one weighting functions, wherein at least one of said composite

testers is configured to be affected relatively weakly affected by disturbances propagating from a portion of space around said at least one composite tester; and

transforming at least a portion of a first system of equations based on said basis functions and said weighting functions into a second system of equations based on said composite sources and said composite testers.

11. (Original) The method of Claim 10, wherein said disturbance is at least one of, an electromagnetic field, a heat flux, an electric field, a magnetic field, vector potential, a pressure, a sound wave, a particle flux, a weak nuclear force, strong nuclear force, and a gravity force.

12. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 10, wherein said composite sources comprise electric currents.

13. (Original) The method of Claim 10, wherein said composite sources comprise magnetic currents.

14. (Original) The method of Claim 10, wherein said composite sources comprise acoustic sources.

15. (Original) The method of Claim 10, wherein said composite sources comprise electromagnetic sources.

16. (Original) The method of Claim 10, wherein said composite sources comprise thermal sources.

17. (Original) The method of Claim 10, wherein each of said composite sources corresponds to a region.

Appl. No. : 09/676,727
Filed : September 29, 2000

18. (Original) The method of Claim 10, wherein said second system of equations is described by a sparse block diagonal matrix.

19. (Original) The method of Claim 18, further comprising the step of reordering said sparse block diagonal matrix to shift relatively larger entries in said matrix towards a desired corner of said matrix.

20. (Original) The method of Claim 10, further comprising the step of solving said second system of equations.

21. (Original) The method of Claim 10, further comprising the step of solving said second system of equations to produce a first solution vector, said first solution vector expressed in terms of said composite testers.

22. (Original) The method of Claim 21, further comprising the step of transforming said first solution vector into a second solution vector, said second solution vector expressed in terms of said weighting functions.

23.-33 (Canceled)

34. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 1, wherein said transforming said system of linear equations produces a substantially sparse system of linear equations.

35. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 1, wherein N is greater than one and M is greater than one.

36. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 35, wherein said transforming said system of linear equations produces a substantially sparse system of linear equations.

37. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 36, wherein said matrix of transmitted disturbances is substantially different from said matrix of received disturbances.

38. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 36, wherein said matrix of transmitted disturbances is a rectangular matrix having a different number of rows and columns, and wherein said matrix of received disturbances is substantially similar to a transpose of said matrix of received disturbances.

39. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 36, wherein said matrix of transmitted disturbances is a rectangular matrix having a different number of rows and columns, and wherein said composite sources are substantially similar to said composite testers.

40. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 1, wherein said matrix of received disturbances comprises a moment-method matrix.

41. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 1, wherein said matrix of transmitted disturbances comprises a moment-method matrix.

42. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 2, wherein said matrix of received disturbances comprises a moment-method matrix.

43. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 2, wherein said transforming at least a portion of said system of equations to use one or more of said composite sources and one or more of said composite testers comprises transforming substantially all of said system of equations to use one or more of said composite sources and one or more of said composite testers.

44. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 43, wherein said transforming substantially all of said system of equations produces substantial sparseness.

45. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 2, wherein said relatively weak disturbance from a portion of space around said at least one composite source comprises a relatively weak disturbance from a far-field portion of space.

46. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 2, wherein said relatively weak disturbance from a portion of space around said at least one composite source comprises a portion of space at distances relatively shorter than a distance to other physical regions.

47. (Currently Amended) The method of Claim 46, wherein said portion of space at distances relatively shorter than a distance to other physical regions comprises a relatively **more dense non-intertwining** portion of space.

48. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 2, wherein said relatively weak disturbance from a portion of space around said at least one composite source comprises a portion of space comprising substantially all angular directions in said first plurality of angular directions.

49. (Currently Amended) The method of Claim 48, wherein said portion of space comprising substantially all angular directions in said first plurality of angular directions comprises a relatively **~~more dense~~ non-intertwining** portion of space.

50. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 10, wherein said transforming at least a portion of a first system of equations comprises transforming substantially all of a first system of equations based on said basis functions and said weighting functions into a second system of equations based on said composite sources and said composite testers.

51. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 50, wherein said second system of equations is substantially sparse.

Appl. No. : **09/676,727**
Filed : **September 29, 2000**

52. (New) The method of Claim 10 wherein said at least a portion of a first system of equations comprises an interaction between at least one of said basis functions is relatively close to and at least one of said weighting functions.

53. (New) The method of Claim 52 wherein either said one or more composite sources is calculated using a matrix of transmitted disturbances or said one or more composite testers is calculated using a matrix of received disturbances.

54. (New) The method of Claim 10 wherein either said one or more composite sources is calculated using a matrix of transmitted disturbances or said one or more composite testers is calculated using a matrix of received disturbances.

Appl. No. : 09/676,727
Filed : September 29, 2000

SUMMARY OF INTERVIEW

Exhibits and/or Demonstrations

None

Identification of Claims Discussed

Claims 1, 2, and 10 were discussed.

Identification of Prior Art Discussed

The reference to Rockwell was discussed.

Proposed Amendments

Applicant agreed to delete selected claims and file a divisional application with the deleted claims.

Principal Arguments and Other Matters

The differences between the Rockwell reference and the present claims were discussed.

Results of Interview

Applicant agreed to remove selected claims to a divisional application and to provide arguments, and possible amendments, to distinguish Rockwell.